

WP2-g/WP2-h

Jury of 1st and 2nd competition

1st Competition Evaluation Matrix

2nd Competition Evaluation Matrix



Bertelli Matteo, Calcagno Gisella, Czmoch Ireneusz, Dudzinska Emilia, Grunwald Gregor, Hollermann Sebastian, Kelm Agnes, Kokkonen Juuso, Laakkonen Ossi, Meins-Becker Anica, Piotr Bartkiewicz, Trombadore Antonella, Zeisberg Loreen

















The creation of these resources has been (partially) funded by the ERASMUS+ grant program of the European Union under grant no. 2022-1-DE01-KA220-HED- 000086134. Neither the European Commission nor the project's national funding agency DAAD are responsible for the content or liable for any losses or damage resulting of the use of these resources.

Discipline Criteria Level Assessment	ntegration.
Inventiveness 2 Some creativity demonstrated, occasional innovative elements. 3 Demonstrates creativity, incorporates innovative and original ideas. 4 Exceptional creativity, consistently integrates groundbreaking and inventive 1 Limited alignment with project requirements and context, resulting in a lack of appropriateness in design. 2 Partial alignment with project requirements, with some appropriateness, and a moderate consideration of the context. 3 Generally appropriate design choices well-aligned with project requirements, showing good integration with the context. 4 Highly appropriate design choices, demonstrating a clear understanding and alignment with project goals, and exceptional contextual 4 Representation: 5 Basic model design with unclear spatial representation. 5 Average model design, some aesthetic elements with fundamental spatial understanding. 6 Good model design with clear structure and convincing spatial representation. 7 Excellent model design, thoughtful composition, visually appealing, and outstanding spatial representation. 8 Weak visual and constructive elements, lacks clarity. 9 Basic visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design. 9 Good visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design elements. 9 Excellent visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design elements. 9 Excellent visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design elements. 9 Excellent visual and constructive strength, some basic and appropriate selection choices. 9 Partial understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 9 Partial understanding of vorious wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 9 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections.	ntegration.
Appropriateness and Contextual Integration	ntegration.
Appropriateness and Contextual Integration Appropriateness and Contextual Integration Model Design and Spatial Representation: Visual and Constructive Strength Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements 4 Exceptional creativity, consistently integrates groundbreaking and inventive Limited alignment with project requirements, with some appropriateness, and a moderate consideration of the context. 3 Generally appropriate design choices well-aligned with project requirements, showing good integration with the context. 4 Highly appropriate design choices, demonstrating a clear understanding and alignment with project goals, and exceptional contextual representation. 2 Average model design with unclear spatial representation. 4 Excellent model design with clear structure and convincing spatial representation. 4 Excellent model design, thoughtful composition, visually appealing, and outstanding spatial representation. Weak visual and constructive elements, lacks clarity. 2 Basic visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design. 3 Good visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 4 Excellent visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 1 Limited understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 2 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, resulting in poor compatibility.	ntegration.
Appropriateness and Contextual Integration Appropriateness and Contextual Integration Appropriateness and Contextual Integration Appropriate design and Spatial Representation: Uisual and Constructive Strength Appropriate Selection of types of wooden elements Advanced understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Advanced understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	ntegration.
Appropriateness and Contextual Integration 3 Generally appropriate design choices well-aligned with project requirements, showing good integration with the context. 4 Highly appropriate design choices, demonstrating a clear understanding and alignment with project goals, and exceptional contextual lands and spatial persesentation. 5 Average model design, some aesthetic elements with fundamental spatial understanding. 6 Good model design, some aesthetic elements with fundamental spatial understanding. 7 Scood model design, thoughtful composition, visually appealing, and outstanding spatial representation. 8 Excellent model design, thoughtful composition, visually appealing, and outstanding spatial representation. 9 Weak visual and constructive elements, lacks clarity. 9 Basic visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design. 1 Weak visual and constructive strength, clear presentation of design elements. 4 Excellent visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 1 Limited understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 2 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 3 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	ntegration.
Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Display to the selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of Advanced understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	ntegration.
Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Display to the selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of Advanced understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	ntegration.
Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Display to the selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of Advanced understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Display to the selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of Advanced understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Visual and Constructive Strength Display to the selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements Appropriate selection of types of Advanced understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Visual and Constructive Strength 1 Weak visual and constructive elements, lacks clarity. 2 Basic visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design. 3 Good visual and constructive strength, clear presentation of design elements. 4 Excellent visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 1 Limited understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 4 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 5 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 5 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Visual and Constructive Strength 2 Basic visual and constructive strength, some clarity in design. 3 Good visual and constructive strength, clear presentation of design elements. 4 Excellent visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 1 Limited understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 4 Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements 5 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 6 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 7 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 8 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Visual and Constructive Strength 3 Good visual and constructive strength, clear presentation of design elements. 4 Excellent visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 1 Limited understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 2 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 3 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
4 Excellent visual and constructive strength, sophisticated and well-presented design elements 1 Limited understanding of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 2 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 3 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Appropriate selection of types of wooden elements and ing of wooden elements, leading to inappropriate selection choices. 2 Partial understanding of wooden elements, with some basic and appropriate selections. 3 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
wooden elements 3 Good understanding of various wooden elements, resulting in proficient and suitable selections. 4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
4 Advanced understanding of the characteristics of wooden elements, leading to expert and highly appropriate selections. 1 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
1 Restricted understanding of the feasibility of the design in relation to hall frames, resulting in poor compatibility.	
Feasibility and Compatibility 2 Moderate understanding of the design's feasibility with hall frames, with some basic compatibility considerations. 3 Proficient understanding of the feasibility of the design in connection with hall frames, resulting in good compatibility. 4 Advanced understanding of the design's feasibility, ensuring seamless integration with hall frames, demonstrating expert-level compated in the report provides a limited or insufficient explanation for the selection of the element type. 5 A basic explanation is given in the report for the chosen element type, but lacks depth or clarity.	
Advanced understanding of the design's feasibility, ensuring seamless integration with hall frames, demonstrating expert-level comparation of the element type. 1 The report provides a limited or insufficient explanation for the selection of the element type. 2 A basic explanation is given in the report for the chosen element type, but lacks depth or clarity.	
1 The report provides a limited or insufficient explanation for the selection of the element type. 2 A basic explanation is given in the report for the chosen element type, but lacks depth or clarity.	bility.
2 A basic explanation is given in the report for the chosen element type, but lacks depth or clarity.	o.acy.
VI Evaluation	
Explanation 3 The report offers a clear and satisfactory explanation for the selection of the element type, demonstrating a good understanding.	
4 An in-depth and insightful explanation is provided in the report, showcasing a profound understanding of why the specific element type	e was selected.
Design decisions in the draft are disjointed or unclear, lacking a cohesive rationale.	
The draft includes fundamental design decisions, but some may lack depth or a comprehensive explanation.	
Design Decisions 3 Design decisions in the draft are well-considered, coherent, and supported by a clear rationale, demonstrating a good understanding.	
4 The draft showcases innovative and strategically sound design decisions, reflecting a profound understanding and a high level of creating a profound understanding and a high level of creating and a high level of creat	ity.
The HVAC system concept is insufficient or poorly defined, lacking clarity and coherence.	
HVAC Concept 2 A basic HVAC system concept is outlined, but it may lack depth or a comprehensive understanding of key elements.	
The HVAC system concept is well-developed, effective, and demonstrates a good understanding of key principles and components.	
HVAC Concept 2 A basic HVAC system concept is outlined, but it may lack depth or a comprehensive understanding of key elements. 3 The HVAC system concept is well-developed, effective, and demonstrates a good understanding of key principles and components. 4 An innovative and optimal HVAC system concept is presented, showcasing advanced understanding, creativity, and strategic thinking. 1 insufficient 2 basic 3 well-developed 4 innovative 1 insufficient 2 basic 3 well-developed 4 innovative 1 insufficient 2 basic	
1 insufficient 2 basic	
water and sewerage systems 3 well-developed	
4 innovative	
1 insufficient	
electrical / lighting and BEMS 2 basic	
I ∃ I 3 Iwell-developed	
4 innovative 1 The 3D model is rudimentary, lacking detail, accuracy, and visual appeal. 2 A satisfactory 3D model is presented, but some aspects may require refinement in terms of detail and presentation. 3 The 3D model is of high quality, demonstrating accuracy, attention to detail, and visual appeal.	
The 3D model is rudimentary, lacking detail, accuracy, and visual appeal. 2 A satisfactory 3D model is presented, but some aspects may require refinement in terms of detail and presentation.	
3D model quality 3 The 3D model is of high quality, demonstrating accuracy, attention to detail, and visual appeal.	
4 An exceptional 3D model is showcased, with outstanding accuracy, intricate detailing, and visually compelling presentation.	
1 The test report is incomplete, lacking essential details and failing to cover key aspects of the testing process.	
Completeness of test report The test report provides some information but lacks completeness, missing certain critical details and insights	
1 3 The test report is well-documented and comprehensive, covering all essential aspects of the testing process	
Use of classifications Use of classifications Use of classifications 4 An exceptionally detailed and thorough test report is presented, offering comprehensive insights into the testing procedures and outcomprehensive insights into the testing pr	mes.
1 incorrectly	
Use of classifications 2 basic manner 3 proficient	
日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	
Q 1 no new rules	
Use of new rules 2 New rules are applied in a basic manner	
3 The application of new rules is proficient	
4 new rules are applied in an advanced and strategic manner,	
1 Limited or absent informal assessment during team meetings hinders effective gauging of team development.	
Progress During Team Meetings 2 Basic informal assessment of progress occurs during team meetings, but it may lack depth or regularity. 3 Regular and effective informal assessment during team meetings contributes to a good understanding of team development.	
Strategic, insightful, and consistent informal assessment during team meetings provides a deep understanding of team development. 4 Strategic, insightful, and consistent informal assessment during team meetings provides a deep understanding of team dynamics and provides a deep understanding of team dynamics and provides a deep understanding of team dynamics and provides a deep understanding of team development.	rogress.
The formal assessment of reports is limited or inadequate, lacking key elements	. 0. 220.
2 A basic assessment is conducted on reports, but it may lack depth or comprehensive analysis.	
Solibri reports 3 A competent and comprehensive assessment of reports is performed, providing a good understanding of the content and its implication	ns.
An advanced and strategic assessment is conducted on reports, offering profound insights, strategic recommendations, and a thoroug	understanding
Solibri reports Solibri reports 4 Strategic, insightful, and consistent informal assessment during team meetings provides a deep understanding of team dynamics and provided	
2 basic	
BIM collab reports 3 competent	
4 advanced	

		1	The construction sequence lacks coherence, with elements appearing disjointed and not logically connected.
		2	A basic construction sequence is presented, but some aspects may lack smooth transitions or logical flow.
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING (D 06)	Construction Sequence	3	The construction sequence is well-structured and coherent, demonstrating a logical flow of activities and transitions between construction phases.
1) 91			An advanced and strategically optimized construction sequence is showcased, indicating a sophisticated understanding of project dynamics and
LIP CEIN		4	effective sequencing for efficiency.
9		1	Limited insight into construction phases in the video.
28.	video	2	Basic representation of chronological construction phases.
ē	video	3	Detailed and clear illustration of construction phases.
		4	Sophisticated and visually engaging depiction of construction phases.
TST.		1	Incorrect Sequence and Grouping: Elements lack coherence and logical organization.
ē	Correct Sequence and Grouping of	2	Partially Correct Sequence and Grouping. Some correct sequencing and grouping with inconsistencies.
	Technological Elements	3	Technological elements are correctly and coherently organized.
		4	Advanced, strategically optimized sequencing and grouping.
LIFE CYCLEASSESSME NT (D 07)		1	The LCA calculation results in the report are unrealistic, displaying inaccuracies or deficiencies in the assessment.
LIFE CLEASSESSN NT (D 07)	Realistic LCA Calculation Results in the	2	Some aspects of the LCA calculation in the report are realistic, but there are notable inconsistencies or shortcomings.
LIFE EASSE IT (D 0	Report	3	The LCA calculation results in the report are realistic and comprehensive, providing a solid foundation for environmental impact assessment.
3,40		4	Advanced, strategically optimized LCA calculation results are presented in the report, reflecting a sophisticated understanding and strategic approach to environmental impact assessment.
		1	The energy performance presented is unrealistic, showing inaccuracies or deficiencies in the assessment.
		2	Some aspects of the energy performance are realistic, but there are notable inconsistencies or shortcomings.
	Realistic Energy Performance	3	The energy performance assessment is realistic and comprehensive, providing a solid foundation for evaluating overall energy efficiency.
			Advanced, strategically optimized energy performance assessment is presented, reflecting a sophisticated understanding and strategic approach to
		4	optimizing energy efficiency.
		1	The positioning of solar renewable systems and shading devices is inefficient, leading to suboptimal energy capture and shading benefits.
	efficient Positioning of Solar	2	Some aspects of the positioning are efficient, but there are notable inefficiencies that impact energy capture and shading effectiveness.
≅	Renewable System	3	The positioning of solar renewable systems and shading devices is efficient and thoughtful, maximizing energy capture and shading benefits.
SIMULATION (D 08)		4	Advanced, strategically optimized positioning is evident, showcasing a sophisticated understanding of solar energy utilization and shading
NO.		1	optimization. Verification of indoor visual quality is limited, and there is a lack of comparison with standard requirements for the intended use.
ATI		2	Some elements of indoor visual quality are verified, but the comparison with standard requirements is basic and may lack comprehensiveness.
IDW	Verification of Indoor Visual Quality in Comparison with Standard	3	
≅	Requirements		Indoor visual quality is thoroughly verified, with a detailed and comprehensive comparison against standard requirements for the designated use.
		4	Verification is advanced and strategically optimized, demonstrating a sophisticated understanding of indoor visual quality in alignment with standard
			requirements.
		1	The interpretation and organization of simulation data are limited, hindering effective communication of results.
		2	Some elements of interpretation and organization are present, but there is room for improvement in effectively communicating simulation results.
	Simulation Data	3	Simulation data are interpreted clearly and well-organized, facilitating effective communication of results.
		4	The interpretation is advanced, organization is strategic, and communication of simulation data is highly effective, showcasing a sophisticated
			understanding of the results.
		1	The selection of objects for documentation is limited, potentially missing key components or elements.
C C	Selection of Objects for	2	Some objects are selected for documentation, but there may be gaps or inconsistencies in coverage.
000	Documentation	3	The selection of objects for documentation is comprehensive, covering key components and elements relevant to the project.
<u>v</u> }		4	Object selection is advanced and strategically optimized, showcasing a sophisticated understanding of project priorities and documentation requirements.
OF II		1	The number of linked objects is limited, and the quality of the links is insufficient, impacting the overall connectivity.
CONSTRUCTION PRODUCT TRACEABILITY (D 09)		2	A moderate number of objects are linked, but there are areas where the quality of links could be improved for better connectivity.
IRA	Number and Quality of Linked Objects	3	A sufficient number of objects are linked with good quality, ensuring reasonable connectivity and relationships.
ខ		4	An advanced number of objects are linked with high-quality connections, strategically optimized to enhance overall project coherence and
			understanding.
		1	The group's posting frequency of news on Instagram is minimal, with infrequent updates.
	Frequency of Posts	2	There is a basic posting frequency of news on Instagram, but it may lack consistency or regularity.
		3	The group maintains a regular and consistent posting frequency of news on Instagram, ensuring a steady flow of updates.
		4	The posting frequency is advanced, strategically optimized, and ensures a dynamic and engaging presence of news on Instagram.
		1	Limited Content Quality
(01	Content	2	Basic Content Quality
REPORTING (D 10)		3	Good Content Quality Advanced and Strategic Content Quality
ING.		4	Advanced and Strategic Content Quality The largest is basic legislary visual appeal or offsetive agraphystics.
ORT		2	The layout is basic, lacking visual appeal or effective organization. There is an improved layout, but costain elements may still need refinement for better visual presentation.
REP	Layout		There is an improved layout, but certain elements may still need refinement for better visual presentation. The layout is well structured, providing a visually appealing and presentation.
_		3	The layout is well-structured, providing a visually appealing and organized presentation. The layout is advanced, strategically entirgized, and aesthetically pleasing showcasing a sophisticated understanding of design principles.
		1	The layout is advanced, strategically optimized, and aesthetically pleasing, showcasing a sophisticated understanding of design principles. The final presentation of results at the conference has limited quality and could be improved.
		2	The final presentation of results at the conference has limited quality and could be improved. There is an improved presentation quality, but certain elements could still be further optimized for better visual representation.
	Presentation	3	The presentation of final results is well-structured, providing a visually appealing and organized display.
		4	
		4	The presentation is advanced, strategically optimized, and aesthetically pleasing, showcasing a sophisticated understanding of design principles.

						r	T	-	_	T	r	T =	
	Criteria	Level	Assesment Limited modeling of required elements: 50% or fewer	Team 01	Team 02	Team 03	Team 04	Team 05	Team 06	Team 07	Team 08	Team 09	Team 10
		1	of the required elements have been modeled,										
		_	indicating limited modeling skills. Partial modeling of required elements: 60-69% have										
	Quantitative criteria	2	been modeled, reflecting a grasp of modeling skills. Competent modeling of required elements: 70-79%										
		3	have been modeled, demonstrating good modeling										
			skills. Advanced modeling of required elements: 80% or										
		4	more of the required elements have been modeled, showcasing strong modeling skills.										
			Limited adherence to learning materials: 50% or fewer										
007)		1	of the required elements are modeled in accordance with the learning materials, indicating a restricted										
ARC Model (D 01)			understanding of the guidelines.										
Š		2	Partial adherence to learning materials: 60-69% of the required elements are modeled in accordance with the										
AR		2	learning materials, showing a basic recognition of the guidelines.										
	Qualitative criteria		Skilled adherence to learning materials: 70-79% of the										
		3	required elements are modeled in accordance with the learning materials, reflecting a solid understanding of										
			the guidelines.										
			Advanced adherence to learning materials: 80% or more of the required elements are modeled in										
		4	accordance with the learning materials, demonstrating										
			a comprehensive mastery of the guidelines.										
		1	The model contains significant errors and does not										
		-	accurately represent the given plans. The model has some errors but captures the basic										
	Accuracy of the Structura Model	-	structure of the plans. The model is mostly accurate with minor errors that do										
	ouci	3	not affect the overall structure.										
		4	The model is highly accurate and precisely represents the given plans and materials.										
		1	The model is incomplete and missing several key components.										
(Z		2	The model includes most components but lacks some	1									
) (D (Completeness of Model		important details. The model is complete with only a few minor details										
STR Model (D 02)		3	missing. The model is fully complete with all components										
STR		4	accurately included.										
		1	Shows minimal understanding of the structural system and its components.										
		2	Demonstrates a basic understanding but misses some										
	Understanding of the	3	key aspects of the structural system. Solid understanding with only minor gaps in										
	Structural Systems		knowledge. Exhibits a comprehensive and thorough understanding										
		4	of the structural system.										
		1	The AHU replacement is insufficient or poorly defined,										
		-	lacking clarity and coherence.										
	Air Handling Units replacement	2	The AHU replacement is outlined, but it may lack depth or a comprehensive understanding of key elements.										
		\vdash	The AHU replacement is well-developed, effective, and								-		
		3	demonstrates a good understanding of key principles and components.										
			An innovative and optimal AHU replacement is										
9 03)		4	presented, showcasing advanced understanding, creativity.										
J) lep		1	The ventilation system for office is insufficient or										
MEP Model (D 03)			poorly defined, lacking clarity and coherence. The ventilation system for office is outlined, but it may										
ž		2	lack depth or a comprehensive understanding of key elements.										
	Office ventilation system		Theventilation system for office is well-developed,										
	based on the advanced BIM tools	3	effective, and demonstrates a good understanding of key principles and components.										
			An innovative and optimal ventilation system for office										
		4	is presented, showcasing advanced understanding, creativity.										
		1	Checking rules were hardly or only superficially applied										
		-	The selection of rules is unsystematic, incomplete and Some relevant checking rules were considered, but	 		-		 					
	Dealing with rules	2	without a clear system. Adaptations to specific project Most relevant checking rules have been carefully										
04)		3	selected and applied. There are approaches for Checking rules have been systematically, completely										
Q) NC		4	and project-specifically adapted and applied. Only obvious or easily recognizable errors were										
NATI		1	identified. Many critical problems remain undetected. Some relevant errors identified, but with gaps in										
ORDI	Detection rate	2	coverage. Possible weaknesses in checking more Most relevant errors were reliably identified. The check										
000		3	is largely complete, with few overlooked aspects or All relevant errors have been systematically and										
MODEL CHECKING AND COORDINATION (D		4	reliably identified. The audit covers all potential										
CKIN		1	The use of BCF (BIM Collaboration Format) is minimal and unsystematic. Communication content is unclear. BCF communication is used, but often contains										
		2	incomplete or unclear information. Comprehensibility										
10DE	Quality of BCF	3	BCF communication is structured and contains clear, relevant information. Most topics are comprehensibly BCF communication is complete, precise and										
2	communication	4	BCF communication is complete, precise and transparent. All relevant information is clearly										
		L											
		1	A communication process on the CDE is only rudimentary or poorly defined. Clear responsibilities,										
	Communication process	2	rudimentary or poorly defined. Clear responsibilities, A basic communication process on the CDE is defined but not fully implemented. Some workflows and										
(50	on the CDE	3	The communication process on the CDE is largely										
9		4	established and functional. Standards. workflows and The communication process on the CDE is fully and										
MANAGEMENT (D 05)		1	optimally organized. Workflows, responsibilities and The documentation process was barely or only										
INAGI		2	superficially defined. Documents are stored A basic documentation process has been created, but										
F.		-	does not cover all relevant aspects. There are isolated The documentation process is clearly defined and										
PROJECT	Documentation process on the CDE	3	covers most requirements. Guidelines for filing, naming The documentation process is fully defined, adapted to										
置	In the ODE	4	the specific project and clearly documented. All										
		$ldsymbol{ldsymbol{ldsymbol{eta}}}$	T										
		1	Incorrect Sequence and Grouping: Elements lack coherence and logical organization.					L					
	•							_		_			

	Correct grouping of	Partially Correct Sequence and Grouping. Some corresequencing and grouping with inconsistencies.	ct				
	Technological Elements	Technological elements are correctly and coherently organized.					
		Advanced, strategically optimized sequencing and grouping.					
(90 c		The construction sequence lacks coherence, with lements appearing disjointed and not logically connected.					
DULING (C		A basic construction sequence is presented, but some aspects may lack smooth transitions or logical flow.	è				
ION SCHEI	Construction Sequence	The construction sequence is well-structured and coherent, demonstrating a logical flow of activities ar transitions between construction phases.					
CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULING (D.06)		An advanced and strategically optimized construction sequence is showcased, indicating a sophisticated understanding of project dynamics and effective sequencing for efficiency.					
		1 Limited insight into construction phases in the video.					
		2 Basic representation of chronological construction phases.					
	Video	3 Detailed and clear illustration of construction phases					
		4 Sophisticated and visually engaging depiction of construction phases.					
		The LCA calculation results in the report are unrealist displaying inaccuracies or deficiencies in the assessment.	ic,				
LIFE CYCLEASSESSMENT (D 07)		Some aspects of the LCA calculation in the report are realistic, but there are notable inconsistencies or shortcomings.					
ASSESSME	Realistic LCA Calculation Results in the Report	The LCA calculation results in the report are realistic 3 and comprehensive, providing a solid foundation for environmental impact assessment.					
LIFE CYCLE		Advanced, strategically optimized LCA calculation results are presented in the report, reflecting a sophisticated understanding and strategic approach environmental impact assessment.	:0				
		The positioning of solar renewable systems is 1 inefficient, leading to suboptimal energy capture and shading benefits.					
	Efficient Solar Renewable System (LIMITED TO	Some aspects of the positioning are efficient, but the are notable inefficiencies that impact energy capture					
	analisy of solar radietion on potential surface)	The positioning of solar renewable systems is efficient and thoughtful, maximizing energy capture.	t				
		Advanced, strategically optimized positioning is 4 evident, showcasing a sophisticated understanding o solar energy utilization and shading optimization.	F				

<u>-</u>		1	Simulation of indoor illuminance hasn't be done.											1
SOLAR SIMULATION (D 08)		2	/											
N.	Daylight Simulation	3	/											
A	., 0		Simulation of indoor illuminance has been performed											
Į.		4	correctly.		1									
S.			The interpretation and organization of simulation data											
PA.		1	are limited, hindering effective communication of		l									
S			results. Some elements of interpretation and organization are	<u> </u>	Ь——									
		2	present, but there is room for improvement in		l									
		1	effectively communicating simulation results.		l									
			Simulation data are interpreted clearly and well-											
	Simulation Data	3	organized, facilitating effective communication of		l									
			results.	<u> </u>	Ь——									
			The interpretation is advanced, organization is strategic, and communication of simulation data is		l									
		4	strategic, and communication of simulation data is highly effective, showcasing a sophisticated		l									
			understanding of the results.		l									
				<u> </u>										
														8
		1	Limited insight into the design in the visualisations.											-
		2	Simple presentation of the visualisations.											
	pictures	3	Detailed and clear presentation of the visualisations											1
(60			Sophisticated and visually appealing presentation of											1
9		4	the visualisations.											
ē		1	Limited insight into the design in the video.											
[SA]		2	Simple presentation of the design.		ī									1
VISUALISATION (D 09)		3	Detailed and clear presentation of the design.											j
VISI	video	4	Sophisticated and visually appealing presentation of											1
		4	the design.			ļ								1
		1		<u></u>	<u> </u>									
		Щ.												8
· <u></u>		1	The group's posting frequency of news on Instagram is											1
			minimal, with infrequent updates. There is a basic posting frequency of news on	_										1
		2	Instagram, but it may lack consistency or regularity.		1									
			The group maintains a regular and consistent posting											
	Frequency of Posts	3	frequency of news on Instagram, ensuring a steady		1									
			flow of updates.											
		4	The posting frequency is advanced, strategically		1									
		4	optimized, and ensures a dynamic and engaging presence of news on Instagram.		1									
		1	Limited Content Quality											
		2	Basic Content Quality											
	Content	3	Good Content Quality											1
		4	Advanced and Strategic Content Quality											1
		_	The layout is basic, lacking visual appeal or effective											1
_		1	organization.		1									
9														
9		2	There is an improved layout, but certain elements may still need refinement for better visual presentation.		1									
2	Layout													
REPORTING (D 10)	Layout	3	The layout is well-structured, providing a visually											1
2			appealing and organized presentation.											1
		4	The layout is advanced, strategically optimized, and aesthetically pleasing, showcasing a sophisticated											1
			understanding of design principles.											1
		1	The final presentation of results at the conference has											1
		1	limited quality and could be improved.	<u> </u>	Ь—	<u> </u>								1
		_	There is an improved presentation quality, but certain	1	ł	1					1			1
		2	elements could still be further optimized for better visual representation.	1	ł	1					1			1
		—		 	\vdash	 								1
	Presentation	3	The presentation of final results is well-structured,	1	ł	1					1			1
	rresentation		providing a visually appealing and organized display.		l									
			The presentation is advanced, strategically optimized,		ī									1
		4	and aesthetically pleasing, showcasing a sophisticated	1	ł	1					1			1
		—	understanding of design principles.	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1
		1		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
	l	<u> </u>		U	U	U	U	U		U	U	U	U	4
				0		0	0	0	0	0	0	, 1	0	
					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	92
				U	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	U	92
								-						
			External Evaluator max. 8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
														V
			Summary											100
														100
			Results from Joensuu 40%											100
				71	78	79	70	78	78	67	59	68	58	100
			Results from Joensuu 40% Results from Warsaw 60%											100
			Results from Joensuu 40%	71 42,6	78 46,8	79 47,4	70 42	78 46,8	78 46,8	67 40,2	59 35,4	68 40,8	58 34,8	100
			Results from Joensuu 40% Results from Warsaw 60%											100